(رتيز شولانه ن Jul 5 1 50 (13) CLERK. U.S. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and THE STATE OF OREGON, DEPARTMENT 11 OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, 12 Plaintiffs, 13 CIVIL ACTION NO. 81-714-MA 14 MARTIN MARIETTA CORPORATION and COMMONWEALTH ALUMINUM 15 CORPORATION, 16 901232 Defendants. Microfilm No. 17 FILED WASCO CTY 18 THE DALLES. OR. CONSENT DECREE 19 Mar 29 | 11 o1 AH '90 20 KAREN R LEBRETON COUNTY CLERK 21 STATE OF OREGON, 22 County of Wasco,: () recorded in the GLERICS LIEN 23 24 Karen R. Lesraton, County Clerk 'records. 25 18 chance 26

901232

Mangalas i

RECEIVED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and THE STATE OF OREGON, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Plaintiffs,

v

MARTIN MARIETTA CORPORATION, COMMONWEALTH ALUMINUM CORPORATION.

Defendants.

CONSENT DECREE

I.

BACKGROUND

The United States Environmental Protection Agency
(U.S. EPA), pursuant to Section 105 of the Comprehensive
Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
(CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. § 9605, placed the Martin Marietta Reduction
Facility, The Dalles, Wasco County, Oregon (the "Facility," as
specifically defined in Paragraph IV(C) of the Consent Decree)
on the National Priorities List;

In response to a release or a substantial threat of a release of a hazardous substance at or from the Facility, the U.S. EPA entered into a consent order with the Martin Marietta Corporation in September 1985. Pursuant to said consent order

CONSENT DECREE - Page 1

901232(50)

CBD-183

the Martin Marietta Corporation was directed to commence and perform a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.68 for the Facility;

The Martin Marietta Corporation completed a Remedial Investigation (RI) and completed a Feasibility Study (FS) Report in July of 1988; The FS Report contains a proposed plan for remedial action at the Facility;

On or about July 14, 1988, U.S. EPA, pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, published notice of the completion of the RI/FS and of the proposed plan for remedial action and provided opportunity for public comment to be submitted in writing to U.S. EPA by August 17, 1988 or orally at a public meeting held in the City of The Dalles, Wasco County, Oregon on July 18, 1988;

U.S. EPA, pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, has kept a transcript of the public meeting and has made this transcript available to be public;

On November 28, 1988, U.S. EPA, pursuant to Section 122 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622, notified the Martin Marietta Corporation that the U.S. EPA determined it to be a potentially responsible party (PRP) regarding the proposed remedial action at the Facility;

On January 16, 1989, U.S. EPA, pursuant to Section 122 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622, notified the Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation that the U.S. EPA determined it to be a potentially

CONSENT DECREE - Page 2

CBO-183

.

n C8D-183 -76 DOJ responsible party (PRP) regarding the proposed remedial action at the Facility;

In accordance with Section 121(f)(1)(F) of CERCLA,
42 U.S.C. § 9621(f)(1)(F), U.S. EPA notified the State of Oregon
("the State") of negotiations with PRPs regarding the scope of
the remedial design and remedial action for the Facility, and
U.S. EPA has provided the State with an opportunity to
participate in such negotiations and be a party to any
settlement. The State, by and through the Oregon Department of
Environmental Quality, pursuant to ORS 466.550(2), is a party to
this action and this Consent Decree;

Pursuant to Section 122(j) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.

§ 9622(j), U.S. EPA notified the Federal Natural Resource

Trustee of negotiations with PRPs on the subject of addressing
the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the

Facility, and U.S. EPA has encouraged the participation of the

Federal Natural Resource Trustee in such negotiations;

Certain persons have provided comments on U.S. EPA's proposed plan for remedial action, and to such comments U.S. EPA provided a summary of responses;

After considering the proposed plan for remedial action and the public comments received, U.S. EPA has reached a decision on a final remedial action plan, and the defendants signature to this Consent Decree ("Settling Defendants," as defined in Paragraph IV(L) of this Consent Decree) are in

CONSENT DECREE - Page 3

901232 (50)

agreement with such plan;

U.S. EPA's decision on the final remedial action plan is embodied in a document called a Record of Decision (ROD), dated September 29, 1988, to which the State of Oregon has given its concurrence, and which includes a discussion of U.S. EPA's reasons for the final plan, a response to each of the significant comments, criticisms and new data submitted during the public comment period for this Consent Decree, and any significant changes (and the reasons for such changes) in the proposed remedial action plan;

U.S. EPA, pursuant to Section 117(b) of CERCLA, 42
U.S.C. § 6917(b), has provided notice of adoption of the final
remedial action plan embodied in the form of the ROD, including
notice of the ROD's availability to the public for review at
U.S. EPA offices and local community repositories located at
Wasco County Library in The Dalles, Oregon;

Pursuant to Section 117(d), the notice was published in a major local newspaper of general circulation and the notice includes an explanation of any significant changes and the reasons for such changes from the proposed remedial action contained in the FS;

Pursuant to Section 121(d)(1), U.S. EPA, the State, and Settling Defendants ("the Parties") believe that the remedial action plan adopted by U.S. EPA will attain a degree of cleanup of hazardous substances, pollutants and contaminants

CONSENT DECREE - Page 4

BD-183 DOJ released into the environment and of control of further release which at a minimum assures protection of human health and the environment at the Facility;

The Parties believe the remedial action plan adopted by U.S. EPA will provide a level or standard of control for such hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants which at least attains legally applicable or relevant and appropriate standards, requirements, criteria, or limitations under federal environmental law or state environmental or facility siting laws, in accordance with Section 121 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 6921, and with the National Contingency Plan ("NCP"), 40 C.F.R. Part 300;

Settling Defendants agree to implement the final remedial action plan adopted by U.S. EPA in the ROD as set forth in Appendix 1 to this Consent Decree, and U.S. EPA has determined that the work required under the Consent Decree will be lone properly by Settling Defendants, and that Settling Defendants are qualified to implement the remedial action plan contained in the ROD; and

The Parties recognize, and intend to further hereby, the public interest in the expeditious remediation of the Facility and the avoidance of prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed:

CONSENT DECREE - Page 5

n CBD-183

280-183

JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter herein, and over the Parties consenting hereto. Settling Defendants shall not challenge this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

III.

PARTIES BOUND

This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the undersigned Parties and their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each Party to this Consent Decree certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party or Parties whom she or he represents to enter into the terms and conditions of the Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind that Party to it. Settling Defendants shall provide a copy of this Consent Decree to the contractor hired to perform the work required by this Consent Decree and shall require the contractor to provide a copy thereof to any subcontractor retained to perform any part of the work required by this Consent Decree.

IV.

DEFINITIONS

Whenever the following terms are used in this Consent Decree and the Exhibits and Appendix attached hereto, the following definitions specified in this Paragraph shall apply:

CONSENT DECREE - Page 6

901232 50)

A. "Architect" or "Engineer" means the company or companies retained by the Settling Defendants to prepare the construction plans and specifications necessary to accomplish the remedial action described in the ROD and Scope of Work which is attached to this Consent Decree as Appendix 2.

- B. "Contractor" means the company or companies retained on behalf of Settling Defendants to undertake and complete the work required by this Consent Decree. Each contractor and subcontractor shall be qualified to do those portions of the work for which it is retained.
- C. "Facility" means the "facility" as that term is defined at Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9), where disposal of hazardous substances was conducted by Martin Marietta Corporation, which facility is located in The Dalles, wasco County, Oregon as shown on the map attached hereto as Appendix 3.
- D. "Future liability" refers to liability arising after U.S. EPA's Certification of Completion is issued pursuant to Paragraph XXVI(B) of this Consent Decree.
- E. "Hazardous substance" shall have the meaning provided in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14)d.
- F. "National Contingency Plan" shall be used as that term is used in Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605.
- G. "Parties" means the United States of America, the State of Oregon, and the Settling Defendants.

CONSENT DECREE - Page 7

08D-183

Consent Decree, of the tasks described in the Scope of Work (including operation and maintenance) and any schedules or plans required to be submitted pursuant thereto.

V.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. Commitment of Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants:

- 1. Settling Defendants agree to finance and perform the Work as defined in Paragraph IV(Q) of this Consent Decree.
- 2. The Work as defined in Paragraph IV(Q) shall be completed in accordance with the standards, specifications and the time periods set forth in Paragraph VI and in the SOW.

B. <u>Permits and Approvals</u>:

- Defendants pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of all applicable local, state and federal laws and regulations, and comply with permit conditions. The United States and the State have determined that the obligations and procedures authorized under this Consent Decree are consistent with the authority of the United States and the State under under CERCLA and the NCP in accordance with CERCLA § 121(e)(1) to establish appropriate remedial measures for the Facility.
- 2. The United States and the State have determined that no federal, state, or local permits are required

CONSENT DECREE - Page 9

m CBD-183 3-76 DOJ

- 3. The standards and provisions of Paragraph XII of this Consent Decree describing <u>Force Majeure</u> shall govern delays in obtaining permits required for the Work and also the denial of any such permits.
- 4. Settling Defendants shall incorporate the terms of this Decree in all contracts or subcontracts entered into for work required under this Decree, and shall require that its contractors and subcontractors, agents and employees shall perform all activities required by such contracts or subcontracts in compliance with this Decree and all applicable laws and regulations. This Consent Decree is not, nor shall it act as, nor is it intended by the Parties to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or state statute or regulation.

C. Conveyance of the Facility

- 1. Within thirty days of approval by the Court of this Decree, Martin Marietta Corporation as a Settling Defendant and owner of the Facility shall record a copy of this Decree (without appendices) with the Recorder's Office, Wasco County, State of Oregon.
 - 2. The Facility as described herein may be

CONSENT DECREE - Page 10

n CBD-183 -76 DOJ

freely alienated provided that at least sixty days prior to the date of such alienation, the Owner Settling Defendant notifies Flaintiffs of such proposed alienation, the name of the grantee, and a description of the Owner Settling Defendant's obligations, if any, to be performed by such grantee. In the event of such alienation, all of Settling Defendants' obligations pursuant to this Decree shall continue to be met by Settling Defendants or, subject to U.S. EPA approval, by Settling Defendants and the grantee.

3. Any deed, title or other instrument of conveyance regarding the Facility shall contain a notice that the Facility is the subject of this Consent Decree, setting forth the style of the case, case number, and Court having jurisdiction herein.

VI.

PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

A. All remedial design work to be performed by Settling Defendants pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be under the direction and supervision of a qualified professional architect or engineer. Prior to the initiation of remedial design work for the Facility, the Settling Defendants shall notify U.S. EPA and the State, in writing, of the name, title, and qualifications of any engineer or architect proposed to be used in carrying out the remedial design work to be performed pursuant to this Consent Decree. Selection of such architect or

CONSENT DECREE - Page 11

CBD-183

engineer by Settling Defendants shall be subject to approval by U.S. EPA in consultation with the State.

- B. All remedial action work to be performed by the Settling Defendants pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be under the direction and supervision of a qualified professional engineer. Prior to the initiation of remedial action work at the Facility, the Settling Defendants shall notify U.S. EPA and the State, in writing, of the name, title, and qualifications of the proposed engineer, and the names of principal contractors and/or subcontractors proposed to be used in carrying out the work to be performed pursuant to this Consent Decree. Selection of any such engineer or contractor and/or subcontractor shall be subject to approval by the U.S. EPA in consultation with the State.
- C. Appendix 2 to this Consent Decree provides a Scope of Work (SOW) for the remedial design and remedial action and is made an enforceable part of this Consent Decree.
 - D. The following work shall be performed:
- 1. Within 45 calendar days of the effective date of lodging this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants shall submit a work plan to the U.S. EPA and a copy to the State for the remedial design and remedial action at the Facility (RD/RA Work Plan). The RD/RA Work Plan shall be developed in conformance with the SOW, all applicable U.S. EPA Superfund Remedial Design and Remedial Action Guidance and any additional

CONSENT DECREE - Page 12

m CBD-183 I-76 DQJ

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

- The RD/RA Work Plan submittal shall include, 2. but not be limited to, submittal of the following project plans: (1) a sampling and analysis plan; (2) a health and safety/contingency plan; (3) a plan for satisfaction of any permitting requirements; (4) a quality assurance project plan; (5) a groundwater monitoring plan; and (6) an operations and maintenance plan. The RD/RA Work Plan shall also include a schedule for implementation of the RD/RA tasks and submittal of RD/RA reports.
- The RD/RA Work Plan and other required 3. documents and reports (hereinafter referred to as "documents") shall be subject to review and approval by U.S. EPA in consultation with the State.
- Within 45 calendar days of receipt of any 4. document, the U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager shall notify Settling Defendants, in writing, of approval or disapproval of the document, or any part thereof. In the event that a longer review period is required, the U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager shall notify Settling Defendants of that fact within 30 calendar days of receipt of document. In the event of a disapproval, U.S. EPA shall specify, in writing, any deficiencies and required modifications to the document.
- Within 30 calendar days of receipt of any U.S. EPA document disapproval, the Settling Defendants shall

CONSENT DECREE - Page 13

C8D-183

submit a revised document to U.S. EPA and a copy to the State which incorporates the U.S. EPA modifications or shall provide a notice of dispute pursuant to Paragraph XIII.

- implement the work detailed in the RD/RA Work Plan when the Work Plan is fully approved by U.S. EPA in consultation with the State. Unless otherwise directed by U.S. EPA, the Settling Defendants shall not commence field activities until approval by U.S. EPA of the RD/RA Work Plan. The fully approved RD/RA Work Plan shall be deemed incorporated into and made an enforceable part of this Consent Decree. All work shall be conducted in accordance with the National Contingency Plan, the U.S. EPA Superfund Remedial Design and Remedial Action Guidance, and the requirements of this Consent Decree, including the standards, specifications and schedule contained in the RD/RA Work Plan.
- E. The Parties acknowledge and agree that neither the SOW nor the RD/RA Work Plan constitutes a warranty or representation of any kind by Plaintiffs that the SOW or RD/RA Work Plan will achieve the performance goals and standards set forth in the ROD and shall not foreclose Plaintiffs from seeking performance of all terms and conditions of this Consent Decree, including the applicable performance goals and standards.

CONSENT DECREE - Page 14

п CSO-183 :-76 DOJ

CBD-183

U.S. EPA PERIODIC REVIEW TO ASSURE

PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT

Pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCIA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and any applicable regulations, U.S. EPA shall review the remedial action at the Facility at least every five (5) years after the entry of this Consent Decree to assure that human health and the environment are being protected by the remedial action being implemented. Such review shall continue until Settling Defendants demonstrate that hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants no longer remain at the Facility. If upon such review, U.S. EPA determines that further response action in accordance with Section 104 or 106 of CERCIA is appropriate at the Facility, then, consistent with Paragraph XVII of this Consent Decree, the U.S. EPA may take or require such action.

Upon completion of its review pursuant to this
Paragraph, U.S. EPA shall notify Settling Defendants of its
determination and may order additional response action pursuant
to Section 106 of CERCLA to assure protection of human health
and the Environment. Settling Defendants shall be provided with
an opportunity to confer with U.S. EPA on any response action
proposed as a result of U.S. EPA's 5-year review and to submit
written comments for the record. After the period for
submission of written comments is closed, the Regional

CONSENT DECREE - Page 15

Administrator of U.S. EPA, Region 10, shall in writing either affirm, modify or rescind the order for further response action. The final decision of U.S. EPA shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions in Paragraph XIII of this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by Section 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613.

VIII.

QUALITY ASSURANCE

Settling Defendants shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures in accordance with U.S. EPA's "Interim Guidelines and Specifications For Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans," (QAM-005/80) U.S. EPA's Data Quality Objective Guidance (EPA 540-687/003) and subsequent amendments to such guidelines. Prior to the commencement of any monitoring project under this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall submit a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) to U.S. EPA that is consistent with applicable guidelines. U.S. EPA, after review of Settling Defendants' QAPP, will notify Settling Defendants of any required modifications, conditional approval, disapproval, or approval of the QAPP. In any dispute arising hereunder between U.S. EPA and the Settling Defendants, the Parties agree to proceed pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of Paragraph XIII of this Decree. Settling Defendants shall assure

CONSENT DECREE - Page 16

ì

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

· C8D-183 76 DOJ

that U.S. EPA personnel or authorized representatives are allowed access to any laboratory utilized by Settling Defendants in implementing this Consent Decree. In addition, Settling Defendants shall have the designated laboratories analyze samples submitted by U.S. EPA for quality assurance monitoring.

IX.

FACILITY ACCESS, SAMPLING, DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

where Work is to be performed hereunder is currently owned by persons other than those bound by this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall obtain access agreements from the present owners in a timely fashion in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Scope of Work for purposes of implementing the requirements of this Decree. Such agreement shall provide access for U.S. EPA, the State, and authorized representatives of U.S. EPA and the State. If such access agreements are not obtained within the time specified herein, Settling Defendants shall so notify U.S. EPA in writing and copy the State.

Settling Defendants shall use their best efforts to otherwise secure access to the Facility. U.S EPA and the State shall have access to facility property owned by Settling Defendents.

B. Settling Defendants shall make available to U.S. EPA and the State the results of all sampling and/or tests or other data generated by Settling Defendants with respect to the

CONSENT DECREE - Page 17

901232⁽⁵⁰⁾

CBD-183 5 DOJ

implementation of this Consent Decree, and shall submit these results in progress reports as required in Paragraph X of this Consent Decree.

C. At the request of U.S. EPA or the State, Settling Defendants shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by U.S. EPA, the State, and/or their authorized representatives, of any samples collected by Settling Defendants pursuant to the implementation of this Consent Decree. Settling Defendants shall notify U.S. EPA and the State not less than fourteen (14) days in advance of any sample collection activity. In addition, U.S. EPA and the State shall have the right to take any additional samples that U.S. EPA or the State deem necessary.

X.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

A. Settling Defendants shall require the contractor to prepare and provide to U.S. EPA and the State written bi-monthly progress reports which: (1) describe the actions which have been taken toward achieving compliance with this Consent Decree during the previous period; (2) include all results of sampling and tests and all other data received by Settling Defendants pursuant to this Consent Decree during the previous period; (3) include all plans and procedures completed under the RD/RA Work Plan during the previous period; (4) describe all actions and plans which are scheduled for the next period and provide other information relating to the progress of

CONSENT DECREE - Page 18

1 CBD-183 76 DOJ

construction as is customary in the industry; (5) include information regarding percentage of completion, unresolved delays encountered or anticipated that may affect the future schedule for implementation of RD/RA Scope of Work or Work Plan, and a description of efforts made to mitigate those delays or anticipated delays. These progress reports are to be submitted to U.S. EPA and the State within fifteen days after the end of each bi-monthly period. The first bi-monthly reporting period shall commence on the first day of the first calendar month following the entry of this Consent Decree. If the date for submission of any item or notification required by this Consent Decree falls upon a weekend or state or federal holiday, the time period for submission of that item or notification is extended to the next working day following the weekend or holiday. Upon the occurrence of any event during c.

performance of the Work which, pursuant to Section 103 of CERCLA, requires reporting to the National Response Center, Settling Defendants shall give prompt oral notification to the U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager ("RPM"), or in the event of the unavailability of the U.S. EPA RPM, the Emergency Response Section, Region 10, United States Environmental Protection Agency, in addition to the reporting required by Section 103. Within 20 days of the onset of such an event, Settling Defendants shall furnish to Plaintiffs a written report setting

CONSENT DECREE - Page 19

901232

CBD-183

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

forth the events which occurred and the measures taken, and to be taken, in response thereto. Within 30 days of the conclusion of such an event, Settling Defendants shall submit a report setting forth actions taken to respond thereto.

XI.

REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGER (RPM) / PROJECT COORDINATORS

U.S. EPA shall designate an RPM and the State shall designate a Project Coordinator for the Facility. The RPM or Project Coordinator may designate other representatives, including U.S. EPA and State employees, and federal and state contractors and consultants to observe and monitor the progress of any activity undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree. RPM shall have the authority lawfully vested in a RPM or an On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) by the National Contingency Plan, 40 CFR Part 300. In addition, the RPM shall have authority to halt, conduct, or direct any work required by this Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when conditions at the Facility may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health or welfare or the environment. Settling Defendants shall also designate a Project Coordinator who shall have primary responsibility for implementation of the Work at the Facility.

To the maximum extent possible except as specifically provided in the Consent Decree, communications

CONSENT DECREE - Page 20

rm CBD-183 8-76 DOJ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

between Settling Defendants, the State, and U.S. EPA concerning the terms and condition of this Consent Decree shall be made between the Project Coordinators and the RPM.

C. Within twenty (20) calendar days of the effective date of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants, the State, and U.S. EPA shall notify each other, in writing, of the name, address and telephone number of the designated Project Coordinator and an Alternate Project Coordinator or RPM and Alternate RPM.

XII.

FORCE MAJEURE

- A. "Force Majeure" for purposes of this Consent
 Decree is defined as any event arising, despite due diligence of
 Settling Defendants, from causes entirely beyond the control of
 Settling Defendants which event delays or prevents the
 performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree. "Force
 Majeure" shall not include changed financial conditions or
 circumstances of either Settling Defendants; increased costs or
 expenses or non-attainment of the Scope of Work.
- B. When circumstances occur which may delay the completion of any phase of the Work or delay access to the Facility or to any property on which any part of the Work is to be performed, whether or not caused by a "Force Majeure" event, Settling Defendants shall promptly notify the RPM and the State Project Coordinator by telephone, or in the event of their

CONSENT DECREE - Page 21

n C8D-183

unavailability, the Director of the Waste Management Division of U.S. EPA, Region 10. Within five (5) days of the event which Settling Defendants contend is responsible for the delay, Settling Defendants shall supply to Plaintiffs in writing the reason(s) for and anticipated duration of such delay, the measures taken or to be taken to mitigate the delay, and the timetable for implementation of such measures. Failure to give oral notice and written explanation to the RPM in a timely manner shall constitute a waiver of any claim of force majeure. The provision of this paragraph shall not be subject to dispute resolution.

ولمسهر

- C. If U.S. EPA, after consultation with the State, agrees that a delay is or was attributable to a "Force Majeure" event, the U.S. EPA in consultation with the State shall modify the RD/RA Work Plan to provide such additional time as may be necessary to allow the completion of the specific phase of Work and/or any succeeding phase of the Work affected by such delay, with such additional time not to exceed the actual duration of the delay.
- D. If U.S. EPA and Settling Defendants cannot agree whether the reason for the delay was a "Force Majeure" event, whether the duration of the delay is or was warranted under the circumstances, or whether the modification granted under Subparagraph C of this Paragraph is sufficient, the U.S. EPA and Settling Defendants shall resolve the dispute according to

CONSENT DECREE - Page 22

· CBD-183 76 DOJ

Paragraph XIII. Settling Defendants have the burden of proving force majeure as a defense to compliance with this Consent Decree.

XIII.

DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- A. As required by Section 121(e)(2) of CERCLA, the Parties to this Consent Decree shall attempt to resolve expeditiously and informally any disagreements concerning implementation of this Consent Decree or any Work required hereunder.
- B. In the event that any dispute arising under this Consent Decree is not resolved expeditiously through informal means, any party desiring dispute resolution under this Paragraph shall give prompt written notice to the other Parties to the Decree.
- C. Within ten (10) days of the service of notice of dispute pursuant to the Subparagraph B, the party who gave the notice shall serve on the other Parties to this Decree a written statement of the issues in dispute, the relevant facts upon which the dispute is based, and factual data, analysis or opinion supporting its position, and all supporting documentation on which such Party relies (hereinafter the "Statements of Position"). Opposing Parties shall serve their statements of Position, including supporting documentation, no later than ten (10) days after receipt of the complaining

CONSENT DECREE - Page 23

m C8D-183 3-76 DOJ

- D. An administrative record of any dispute under this Paragraph shall be maintained by U.S. EPA. The record shall be available for review by all Parties.
- E. Upon review of the administrative record, the Director of Waste Management Division, U.S. EPA, Region 10, in consultation with the State, shall issue a final decision and order resolving the dispute, which shall be followed by Settling Defendants unless they file a Notice of Judicial Appeal as set out in the following Subparagraph (Subparagraph F.). This Order shall be enforceable administratively pursuant to Section 121(e)(2) of CERCLA, subject to the rights of judicial review set forth in the following Subparagraph F.
- F. Any decision and order of U.S. EPA pursuant to the preceding Subparagraph E shall be reviewable by this Court, provided that a Notice of Judicial Appeal is filed within 10 days of receipt of U.S. EPA's decision and order, until the date of termination of this Consent Decree specified in Paragraph XXVI of this Consent Decree. Thereafter, judicial review will be available only by instituting new action(s) to the extent permitted by law. The standard of judicial review shall be the arbitrary and capricious standard for all disputes involving the

CONSENT DECREE - Page 24

™ CBD-183 1-76 DOJ

selection of the remedy; provided that the standard of review regarding other disputes, including but not limited to compliance with Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements, shall be determined by the Court, in accordance with CERCLA.

G. The invocation of the procedures stated in this Paragraph shall not extend or postpone Settling Defendants' obligations under this Consent Decree with respect to the disputed issue unless and until U.S. EPA finds, or the Court orders, otherwise.

XIV.

RETENTION AND AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION

A. Settling Defendants shall make available to U.S. EPA and the State and shall retain, during the pendency of this Consent Decree and for a period of six (6) years after its termination, all records and documents in their possession, custody, or control which relate to the performance of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, documents reflecting the results of any sampling, tests, or other data or information generated or acquired by any of them, or on their behalf, with respect to the Facility. After the six (6) year period of document retention, Settling Defendants shall notify U.S. DOJ and U.S. EPA and the State at least ninety (90) calendar days prior to the destruction of any such documents, and upon request by U.S. EPA or the State, Settling Defendants

CONSENT DECREE - Page 25

n CBD-183 -76 DOJ

shall relinquish custody of the documents to U.S. EPA or the State.

- confidentiality claims covering part or all of the information provided to U.S. EPA in connection with this Consent Decree in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Settling Defendants may assert a trade secret claim of confidentiality covering all or part of information provided to the State, in accordance with OR. REV. STAT. 192.501(2).
- c. Information provided to U.S. EPA under claim of confidentiality and determined to be confidential by U.S. EPA will be accorded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. Information provided to the State and determined to be confidential by the State will be accorded confidentiality in accordance with OR. REV. STAT. 192.410 through 192.505. If no such claim accompanies the information when it is submitted to the U.S. EPA or the State, the public may be given access to such information without further notice to Settling Defendants.
- D. Information acquired or generated by Settling
 Defendants in performance of the Work that is subject to the
 provisions of Section 104(e)(7)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §
 9604(e)(7)(F), shall not be claimed as confidential by Settling
 Defendants.

CONSENT DECREE - Page 26

901232 (50)

C8D-183

5

CED-183 76 DOJ

REIMBURSEMENT

- A. In addition to the sum of \$93,317.19 previously paid, Settling Defendants shall pay, within 45 days of the entry of this Consent Decree, \$268,982.01 to the EPA Hazardous Substances Response Trust Fund delivered to the U.S. EPA, Superfund, P.O. Box 371003M, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 15251, in the form of a certified or cashier check payable to "EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund," and a copy of such check shall be sent to the Director, Waste Management Division, U.S. EPA, Region 10.
- B. The payments made of \$362,299.20 under
 Subparagraph A of this Paragraph are in settlement of past
 response costs claimed by the United States in this Action. In
 consideration of the monies received under Subparagraph A of
 this Paragraph, the United States covenants not to sue Settling
 Defendants for any costs incurred prior to the signing date of
 this Consent Decree, pursuant to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq.
- C. Settling Defendants shall pay oversight costs of the United States and the State incurred after the signing of this Consent Decree in overseeing implementation of the Work. Payments shall be made within 30 days of the submission of itemized cost statements and supporting documentation by the United States and the State. The United States shall submit its oversight cost claims within 30 days of each anniversary date of

CONSENT DECREE - Page 27

this Consent Decree. Payments to the United States shall be made as specified in Subparagraph A of this Paragraph. Payments to the State shall be made to the Hazardous Substance Remedial Action Fund and delivered to the Manager, Business Office, Department of Environmental Quality, 811 S.W. Sixth Avenue, Portland, Oregon, 97204, by check payable to "Department of Environmental Quality." Failure on the part of the United States or the State to submit timely its claim shall not act as a waiver of said claim. In consideration of and upon payment by the Settling Defendants as above stated, the United States and the State covenant not to sue for any oversight costs incurred in overseeing the Work.

If oversight costs are outstanding at the time the. United States and the State plan to terminate this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall, within thirty (30) days of the submission of an itemized cost statement and supporting documentation by the United States, and before termination of this Consent Decree, pay such oversight costs.

D. The Response Costs set forth in Subparagraph A of this Paragraph are not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan.

XVI.

STIPULATED PENALTIES

A. Settling Defendants shall pay stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in Subparagraph H of this

CONSENT DECREE - Page 28

m CBD-183 I-76 DOJ

280-183 LOG i Paragraph to the United States for failure to timely submit and perform major and minor scope of work requirements in compliance with schedules set forth in Paragraph VI and the Scope of Work incorporated by reference in this Consent Decree, unless U.S. EPA determines that such failure is excused under Paragraph XII ("Force Majeure"). Compliance by Settling Defendants shall include satisfactory completion of an activity under this Consent Decree or any matter under this Consent Decree in an acceptable manner and within the specified time schedules in and approved under this Consent Decree. Any modifications of the time for performance pursuant to Paragraph XXIII ("Modifications") shall be in writing.

- B. All penalties begin to accrue on the day that complete performance is due or a violation occurs, and continue to accrue through the final day of correction of the noncompliance. With respect to any violation under (1) of Subparagraph H hereof, nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Consent Decree.
- C. Following U.S. EPA's determination that Settling Defendants have failed to comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree, U.S. EPA shall give Settling Defendants written notification of the same and describe the noncompliance. This notice shall also indicate the amount of penalties due.

CONSENT DECREE - Page 29

901232 (50)

- E. Neither the filing of a petition to resolve a dispute nor the payment of penalties shall alter in any way Settling Defendants' obligation to complete the performance required hereunder.
- F. Settling Defendants may dispute U.S. EPA's right to the stated amount of penalties by invoking the dispute resolution procedures under Paragraph XIII. Penalties shall accrue but need not be paid during the dispute resolution period. If the District Court becomes involved in the resolution of the dispute, the period of dispute shall end upon the rendering of a decision by the District Court regardless of whether any Party appeals such decision. If Settling Defendants

CONSENT DECREE - Page 30

m CBD-183 8-76 DOJ

The following stipulated penalties shall be payable per violation to the United States for any noncompliance

(1) For minor scope of work violations defined as Work Plan Development, Work Plan Revisions, Start Remedial Design, and Bi-monthly:

Amount/Week

Period of Noncompliance

\$ 2,000

1 to 7 days per each subsequent 7-day period or part thereof

For major scope of work violations (defined as (2) Final Remedial Design, Start Remedial Action, and Completion of Remedial Action):

CONSENT DECREE - Page 31

901232

CBD-183 76 DOJ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

K. Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717, interest shall accrue on any amounts overdue at a rate established by the Department of Treasury for any period after the date of billing. A handling change will be assessed every 30 days during the period of non-payment of any amount(s) due under this Decree. A six percent (6%) per annum penalty charge will be assessed if the penalty is not paid within 90 days of the due days.

L. If Settling Defendants fail to pay stipulated penalties, Plaintiffs may institute proceedings to collect the penalties. Notwithstanding the stipulated penalties provisions of this Paragraph, U.S. EPA may elect to assess civil penalties and or bring an action in U.S. District Court pursuant to Section 109 of CERCLA as amended by SARA, to enforce the provisions of this Consent Decree provided that Settling Defendants' total penalty exposure for violations shall be limited to \$25,000 per day per violation of this Consent Decree. Payment of stipulated penalties shall not preclude U.S.

CONSENT DECREE - Page 32

901232

i Č80-183 76 DOJ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

EPA or sanction Consent penaltic statuto

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EPA or the State from electing to pursue any other remedy or sanction to enforce this Consent Decree, and nothing in this Consent Decree shall preclude U.S. EPA from seeking statutory penalties against Settling Defendants for violations of statutory or regulatory requirements.

XVII.

COVENANT NOT TO SUE

In consideration of actions which will be performed and payments which will be made by the Settling Defendants under the terms of this Consent Decree, and except as otherwise specifically provided in this Decree, the United States and the State covenant not to sue the Settling Defendants or their officers, directors, employees, or agents for Covered Matters. Covered Matters shall include any and all claims available to the United States under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA and Section 7003 of RCRA and common law nuisance and any and all claims available to the State under CERCLA, RCRA, OR. REV. STAT. Chapter 466, and common law, regarding hazardous substances released at or from the facility as described in Plaintiffs' complaint against the Settling Defendants. With respect to future liability, this covenant not to sue shall take effect upon certification by U.S. EPA, with concurrence of the State, of the completion of the remedial action concerning the Facility.

CONSENT DECREE - Page 33

901232⁽⁵⁾⁾

28D-183 i DOJ

CONSENT DECREE - Page 34

26

27

28

1 CBD-183 76 DOJ

76 DOJ

the Facility,

- (i) conditions at the Facility, previously unknown to the United States or the State, are discovered after the entry of this Consent Decree, or (ii) information is received, in whole or in part, after the entry of this Consent Decree, and these previously unknown conditions or this information indicates that the remedial action is not protective of human health and the environment; and
- b. for proceedings subsequent to U.S. EPA certification of completion of the remedial action concerning the Facility,
 - (i) conditions at the Facility, previously unknown to the United States or the State, are discovered after the certification of completion by U.S. EPA, or
 - (ii) information is received, in whole or in part, after the certification of completion by U.S. EPA, and these previously unknown conditions or this information indicates that the remedial action is not protective of human health and the environment.
- D. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Consent Decree, the covenant not to sue in this Section shall

CONSENT DECREE - Page 35

not relieve the Settling Defendants of their obligation to meet and maintain compliance with the requirements set forth in this Consent Decree, including the conditions in the ROD, which are incorporated herein, and the United States and the State reserve their rights to take response actions at the Facility in the event of a breach of the terms of this Consent Decree and to seek recovery of costs incurred after entry of the Consent Decree: 1) resulting from such a breach; 2) relating to any portion of the Work funded or performed by the United States or the State; or 3) incurred by the United States or the State as a result of having to seek judicial assistance to remedy conditions at or adjacent to the Facility.

- E. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall constitute or be construed as a release or a covenant not to sue regarding any claim or cause of action against any person, firm, trust, joint venture, partnership, corporation or other entity not signatory to this Consent Decree for any liability it may have arising out of or relating to the Facility. Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to continue to sue any person other than the Settling Defendants, in connection with the Facility.
- F. The Settling Defendants and each of them covenant not to sue the United States, including any and all departments, agencies, officers, administrators, and representatives thereof, for any claim, counter-claim, or cross-claim asserted, or that could have been asserted, arising out of or relating to the

CONSENT DECREE - Page 36

901232 (60)

n CBD-183

Site, including but not limited to claims under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 amd 9607. This Covenant not to sue also extends to any claim or potential claim related to or arising out of the Work to be performed pursuant to this Decree, except for any claim arising pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of Section XIII herein.

XVIII.

OTHER CLAIMS

A. Settling Defendants agree to indemnify, save and hold harmless U.S. EPA, the State and/or their representatives from any and all claims or causes of action arising from acts or omissions of Settling Defendant and/or its representatives in carrying out the activities pursuant to this Consent Decree.

U.S. EPA and the State shall notify Settling Defendants of any such claims or actions within sixty (60) working days of receiving notice that such a claim or action is anticipated or has been filed. U.S. EPA and the State agree not to act with respect to any such claim or action without first providing Settling Defendant an opportunity to participate.

B. U.S. EPA and the State are not to be construed as a Party to, and do not assume any liability for, any contract entered by the Settling Defendants in connection with this Consent Decree. The proper completion of the Work under this Consent Decree is solely the responsibility of Settling

CONSENT DECREE - Page 37

1 CBD-183 76 DOJ

Defendants.

C. Settling Defendants waive their right to assert any claims against the Hazardous Substances Trust Fund under CERCLA that are related to any past costs or costs incurred in the Work performed pursuant to this Consent Decree, and nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as U.S. EPA's preauthorization of a claim against the Hazardous Substance Trust Fund.

XIX.

FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Settling Defendants shall protect and hold harmless the United States, the State and the public against any and all liability arising out of Settling Defendants' and its Contractor and other agents' acts or omissions in performance of the Work at the Facility. Prior to commencement of the Work at the Facility, Martin Marietta Corporation shall provide U.S. EPA with proof of financial assurance pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 264.151.

XX.

NOTICES

Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, notice is required to be given, a report or other document is required to be forwarded by one Party to another, or service of

CONSENT DECREE - Page 38

rm C8D-183 8-76 DOJ

1 any papers or process is necessitated by the dispute resolution 2 provisions of Paragraph XIII hereof, such correspondence shall 3 be directed to the following individuals at the addresses 4 specified below: 5 As to the United States or U.S. EPA: 6 Remedial Project Manager 7 Martin Marietta Site U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 8 1200 Sixth Avenue (HW-113) Seattle, Washington 98101 9 As to the State of Oregon 10 Project Coordinator 11 Martin Marietta Site Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 12 811 S.W. Sixth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97204 13 14 For Settling Defendant: 15 Jose R. Bou, Vice President Martin Marietta Aluminum Properties 16 6801 Rockledge Drive, Mail Stop 381 Bethesda, Maryland 20817 17 XXI. 18 CONSISTENCY WITH NATIONAL CONTINGENCY PLAN 19 The Parties agree that the Work, if properly performed 20 as set forth in Paragraph VI hereof, is consistent with the 21 provisions of the National Contingency Plan pursuant to 42 22 U.S.C. § 9605. 23 24 25 26 27 CONSENT DECREE - Page 39

901232

28

· CBD-183 76 DOJ

m CBD-183 3-76 DOJ

RESPONSE AUTHORITY

Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit the response authority of the United States under 42 U.S.C. § 9604, or to alter the applicable legal principles governing the judicial review of U.S. EPA's Record of Decision concerning remedial action at the Facility.

XXIII.

MODIFICATION

Except as provided for herein, there shall be no modification of this Consent Decree without written approval of all Parties to this consent Decree.

XXIV.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The United States shall publish a notice of this Consent Decree's availability for review and comment upon its lodging with the United States District Court as a proposed settlement in this matter.

The United States will provide persons who are not parties to the proposed settlement with the opportunity to file written comments during at least a thirty 30 day period following such notice. In addition, the United States intends to hold an informal public meeting in The Dalles, Wasco County, Oregon during this period to receive either written or oral

CONSENT DECREE - Page 40

901232(60)

comments. The United States will file with the Court a copy of any comments received and the responses of the United States to such comments.

After the closing of the public comment period, the United States will review such comments and determine whether the comments disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the proposed judgment is inappropriate, improper or inadequate, and that the consent should therefore be withdrawn. Should consent be withdrawn, the United States shall inform the other Parties as to the basis for the withdrawal and any modifications necessary for consent to a settlement.

XXV.

COMMUNITY RELATIONS

Settling Defendants shall cooperate with U.S. EPA and the State in providing RD/RA information to the public. As requested by U.S. EPA or the State, Settling Defendants shall participate in the preparation of all appropriate information disseminated to the public and in public meetings which may be held or sponsored by U.S. EPA or the State to explain activities at or concerning the Facility.

XXVI.

EFFECTIVE AND TERMINATION DATES

A. This Consent Decree shall be effective upon the

CONSENT DECREE - Page 41

rm CBD-183 8-76 DOJ

date of its entry by the Court.

B. When Settling Defendants determine that it has completed the Work, they shall submit to U.S. EPA with a copy to the State a Notice of Completion and a Final Report as required by the RD/RA Work Plan final report must summarize to Work performed, any modification to the RD/RA Work Plan, and the performance levels achieved. The summary shall include or reference any supporting documentation.

Upon receipt of the Notice of Completion, U.S. EPA in consultation with the State shall review the accompanying report and any other supporting documentation. Prior to the issuance of a certification of completion, U.S. EPA in consultation with the State shall also undertake a review of the remedial action under Paragraph VII of this Consent Decree. U.S. EPA shall issue a Certification of Completion upon its determination that (1) Settling Defendants have satisfactorily completed the Work and has achieved standards of performance required under this Consent Decree; (2) no corrective action under Paragraph VII is necessary; (3) all stipulated penalties required to be paid under Paragraph XVI have been paid in full by Settling Defendants; and (4) all costs payable to the U.S. EPA and the State including oversights costs have been paid.

C. Upon U.S. EPA's issuance of a Certificate of Completion, Settling Defendants may petition this Court to terminate this Consent Decree. Plaintiffs shall have sixty (60)

CONSENT DECREE - Page 42

m CBD-183 3-76 DOJ

1 ;	days to respond to such petition. Settling Defendants' petition
2	shall recite the provisions of this Paragraph of this Decree.
3	By the Signatures below each Settling Defendants'
4	consent to this Decree is hereby given:
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
7	By: MMUU (M) By: ((:ff:
8	DONALD CARR Acting Assistant Attorney
9	General Land & Natural Resources
10	Division U.S. Department of Justice
11	Washington, D. C. 20530 Date: 6-20-67
12	Date: U 20 00 Date:
13	
14	By:
15	REBIE RUSSELL Regional Administrator
16	U.S. EPA Region 10
17	Date:
18	STATE OF OREGON
19 .	By:By:
20	FRED HANSEN Director Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
21	Date: 3-27-89
22	Date:
23	
24	
25	
26	fi I
27	G .

128-76 DOJ 128-76 DOJ 901232

CONSENT DECREE - Page 43

SETTLING DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE PAGE TO CONSENT DECREE

The undersigned Settling Defendants, Martin Marietta Corporation and Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation, hereby consent to the foregoing Consent Decree in the United States District Court for the District of Oregon captioned "United States of America and The State of Oregon, Department of Environmental Quality v. Martin Marietta Corporation, Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation."

MARTIN MARIETTA CORPORATION

Bo:

Jose R. Bou

Date: 27 March 1989

COMMONWEALTH ALUMINUM CORPORATION,

by and through Martin Marietta Corporation, its Attorney in Fact, pursuant to that one

certain Power of Attorney dated September 9, 1987.

By:

Jose R. Bou

Date: 27 March 1989

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Jose R. Bou, hereby states that he is an employee of Martin Marietta Corporation and has been duly authorized and delegated the power to execute the foregoing Consents in behalf of each of the Settling Defendants pursuant to the Special Delegation of Authority dated 2 February 1989 (copy attached) and the Power of Attorney dated 9 September 1987 (copy attached).

an

Dated this 27th day of March 1989

Jose R. Bou

CONSENT DECREE - Page 44

JUDGMENT The foregoing Consent Decree having been read and considered, and the Court having also considered any comments thereon submitted in response to the public notice under 28 C.F.R. § 50.7 and 42 U.S.C. § 9622(i), the Consent Decree lodged with the Court on Least, 1989 is hereby ENTERED according to its terms. DATE:

901232 (50)

MARTIN MARIETTA CORPORATION

DAVID C. DRESSLER SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT 4801 ROCKLEDGE DRIVE BETHESDA MARYLAND 20817 TELEPHYNE (301) 887 4801

February 2, 1989

Mr. Jose R. Bou, Vice President Martin Marietta Aluminum Properties, Inc. 6801 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, MD 20817

RE: <u>Special Delegation of Authority</u>

Dear Jose:

Pursuant to the Authority vested in me as Senior Vice President of Martin Marietta Corporation, you are authorized, as an employee of Martin Marietta Corporation and in your capacity as Vice President of Martin Marietta Aluminum Properties, Inc., and delegated the authority to make and execute a Proposal to the EPA in behalf of Martin Marietta Corporation and Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation in response to the Special Notice Letters sent by the EPA to Martin Marietta Corporation and Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation. This proposal is understood to be for the undertaking of the Remedial Design and Remedial Action (RD/RA) at the Martin Marietta site at The Dalles, Oregon. This Delegation of Authority specifically includes the authority to make the Proposal also in behalf of Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation (pursuant to the attached Power of Attorney to Martin Marietta Corporation) and to settle and compromise all past claims by the EPA for "Response Costs". You are further authorized to do all things deemed reasonably necessary or incidental by you in your judgment to timely effectuate a responsive good faith joint Proposal to the EPA for such work and to effectuate a Consent Decree to enable this undertaking. You are also specifically authorized to include a copy of this Authorization in the Proposal to the EPA

Sincerely,

MARTIN MARIETTA CORPORATION

David C. Dressler U Senior Vice President

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation, formerly known as Martin Marietta Aluminum Inc. and as Harvey Aluminum (Incorporated), a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal office at 3 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 1100, City of Bethesda, County of Montgomery, State of Maryland, hereby appoints Martin Marietta Corporation, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Maryland, with its principal office at 6801 Rockledge Drive, City of Bethesda, County of Montgomery, State of Maryland, as its attorney-in-fact for the following limited purposes:

- 1. In the name of Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation, to defend against, negotiate, settle, compromise or otherwise resolve any claims, demands or lawsuits which now or may hereafter exist, and which are subject to Section 3.18(a)(i)(A) and/or (B) of the Purchase Agreement among Comalco (U.S.) Holding, Inc., Comalco Limited and Martin Marietta Corporation, dated as of September 30, 1984, as amended, arising out of alleged environmental pollution at certain locations, namely:
 - A. Operating Industries, Inc., a dumpsite located in Monterey Park, California:
 - B. Stringfellow Acid Pits, a dumpsite located in Riverside County. California;
 - C. Yellow Water Road, a dumpsite located in Baldwin, Florida;
 - D. Seymour, a dumpsite located in Seymour, Indiana;
 - E. The former Harvey Aluminum/Martin Marietta Aluminum facility located in The Dalles, Oregon:
 - F. BKK, a dumpsite located in California;
 - G. Such other sites as may be agreed separately in writing between the parties:

and to take such other steps in connection with any such claims, demands or lawsuits as it may deem necessary and proper, and in the name of Communealth Aluminum Corporation to execute and deliver any releases.

consent orders, or other satisfaction of any such claims, demands or lawsuits, with the same effect as if such documents were executed by an officer of Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation.

2. To exercise all insurance coverage rights held by Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation under insurance policies issued to Harvey Aluminum (Incorporated) and to Martin Marietta Corporation or its predecessors-in-interest, which provide coverage to Harvey Aluminum (Incorporated) and/or Martin Marietta Aluminum Inc. with respect to those claims, demands or lawsuits set forth in paragraph 1, above; and in the name of Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation to ask, demand, sue for, collect, and receive all sums of money or other obligations of any kind whatsoever which are now or shall hereafter become due, owing, or payable, or otherwise belong to Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation, with respect to those claims, demands or lawsuits set forth in paragraph 1; to settle and compromise any such debts or obligations that may be due Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation with respect to those claims, demands or lawsuits set forth in paragraph 1; and to take such other steps in connection with any such claims, demands or lawsuit which it may deem necessary and proper and in the name of Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation to execute and deliver any receipts, releases, or discharges of any such claims or obligations with the same effect as if such receipts, releases or discharges were executed by an officer of Commonwealth Aluminum Corporation.

The authority of the attorney-in-fact to exercise any powers herein granted shall commence on $\frac{\text{SEPTENREZ}}{2}$, 1987, and shall remain in full force and effect until terminated by written notice.

Dated: SEMEMBER 9 , 1987

Attest:

COMMONWEALTH ALUMINUM CORPORATION

R. F. Johnson, Vice President

CONSENT DECREE - Page 48

By: Edward J Tighe President

State of Maryland)

SS:

County of Montgomery)

On this god day of <u>Sections</u> in the year 1987, before me, a notary public, personally appeared <u>Second</u>, personally known to me to be the person who executed the within instrument as President of the corporation herein named, and acknowledged to me that it was the corporation's act and deed.

Notary Public for the State of Maryland My Commission Expires July 1, 1990

BARGAIN AND SALE DEED

(E)

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That HOMER SIMS , hereinafter called grantor, for the consideration hereinalter stated, does hereby grant, bargain, sell and convey unto TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY,

hereinafter called grantee, and unto grantee's heirs, successors and assigns all of that certain real property with the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining, situated in the Countries of....Crook...Jeffersont..., State of Oregon, described as follows, to-wit:

*Wasco and Wheeler

NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP

See Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

The хэ сжиндээсний худээгдэгийн хэдэй		ind grantee's heirs, successi lexistatedkinkteraniolidalia		
Microsexus xibe actual consideration consists		•	•	
the whole consideration (Indicates which july press	**********	CASA KARATKA KARAKA	WALLOW AND THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
In construing this deed and where the con	ntext so require	s the singular includes the	nlural and all grammatics	
changes shall be implied to make the provisions				
In Witness Whereof, the grantor has exec	• • •	• •		
if a corporate grantor, it has caused its name to				
order of its board of directors.	be agree and		, and animonated thereto 2	
THIS INSTRUMENT WILL NOT ALLOW USE OF THE PROF SCRIBED IN THIS INSTRUMENT IN VIOLATION OF APPLICA USE LAWS AND REGULATIONS. BEFORE SIGNING OR A THIS INSTRUMENT. THE PERSON ACQUIRING FEE TITLI PROPERTY SHOULD CHECK WITH THE APPROPRIATE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO VERIFY APPROVED	BLE LAND TO CCEPTING COUNTY OF COUNTY OR	MER SIMS	1222-	
[If the signer of the above is a corporation, use the form of acknowledgment apposite.] (OR	S 194.5701			
STATE OF OREGON,)		OREGON, County of		
County of : Linn		The loregoing instrument	was acknowledged before me this	
The loregoing instrument was acknowledged before	**********	president, and by		
me this 21st day or March 19 90 by				
HOMER SIMS	*****************			

-m 135 .	a	corpora	tion, on behalf of the corporation	
III WILLIAM / Comment				
MARKEN SULLINAT Notary Public for Oregon	Notary Pub	lic for Oregon	····	
Notary Public for Oregon	1	_	• •	
Notary Public for Oregon	1	lic for Oregon sion expires:	(If executed by a corporation	
Notary Public for Oregon	1	_	(If executed by a corporation	
(SEAL) My commission expires: May 18, 1990	1	ion expires:	(If executed by a corporation	
(SEAL) My commission expires: May 18, 1990	1	ion expires:	(If executed by a corporation	
(SEAL) My commission expires: May 18, 1990	My commis	_	(If executed by a corporation	
(SEAL) My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS	My commis	ion expires:	(If executed by a corporation	
My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS	My commis	ion expires:	(If executed by a corporation	
Notary Public for Oregon (SEAL) My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY	My commis	ion expires:	(If executed by a corporation	
My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY GRANTEE'S NAME AND ADDRESS	My commis	COMPARED	offix corporate seal	
My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY GRANTES'S NAME AND ADDRESS	My commis	COMPARED	Clerk and Clerk Conds.	
My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY GRANTEE'S NAME AND ADDRESS Ther recording refers to: David R. Ludwig, Esq.	1	COMPARED	Clerk and Clerk Cords.	
(SEAL) My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY GRANTER'S NAME AND ADDRESS hor recording return to: David R. Ludwig, Esq. O'CONNELL, GOYAK & DILORENZO	My commis	ion expires:	document was received and document was received and DEED. County Clerk Deputy	
(SEAL) My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR E NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY GRANTEE'S NAME AND ADDRESS her recording return to: David R. Ludwig, Esq. O'CONNELL, GOYAK & DILORENZO 121 S.W. Morrison St., Suite 800	My commis	COMPARED	document was received and document was received and DEED. County Clerk Deputy	
My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY GRANTES'S NAME AND ADDRESS Ther recording return to: David R. Ludwig, Esq. O'CONNELL, GOYAK & DiLORENZO 121 S.W. Morrison St., Suite 800 Portland, Ormandadoress.zip 97204	My commission of the commissio	MASCO CTY DALLES, OR. II 35 AM '90 CERK NTY CLERK	document was received and document was received and DEED. County Clerk Deputy	
My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY GRANTES'S NAME AND ADDRESS Ther recording return to: David R. Ludwig, Esq. O'CONNELL, GOYAK & DiLORENZO 121 S.W. Morrison St., Suite 800 Portland, Ormandadoress.zip 97204	My commission of the commissio	MASCO CTY DALLES, OR. II 35 AM '90 CERK NTY CLERK		
My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY GRANTEE'S NAME AND ADDRESS Ther recording return to: David R. Ludwig, Esq. O'CONNELL, GOYAK & DiLORENZO 121 S.W. MORRISON St., Suite 800 Portland, Ormandaddeess. 219 97204	My commission of the commissio	MASCO CTY DALLES, OR. II 35 AM '90 CERK NTY CLERK	OF OREGON, that this document was received and in the DEED. LeBreton, County Clerk records. Deputy	
My commission expires: May 18, 1990 HOMER SIMS GRANTOR'S NAME AND ADDRESS TAYLOR CATTLE COMPANY GRANTER'S NAME AND ADDRESS Hor recording return to: David R. Ludwig, Esq. O'CONNELL, GOYAK & DILORENZO 121 S.W. Morrison St., Suite 800	My commission of the commissio	MASCO CTY DALLES, OR. II 35 AM '90 CERK NTY CLERK	document was received and document was received and DEED. County Clerk Deputy	

"INDEXED"